

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/444,460	11/22/1999	HIDEAKI FUJITA	1248-0472P-S	8686
;	7590 12/11/2002			
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH LLP			EXAMINER	
P O BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 220400747		KNAUSS, SCOTT A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2974	

DATE MAILED: 12/11/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/444,460	FUJITA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Scott A Knauss	2874
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 25 November 2002 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the same of the	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).</li> <li>Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The</li> </ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai FR 1.704(b).	originally set in the final Office action; or ling date of the final rejection, even if
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF</li> </ol>	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) Ithey raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	
<ul><li>(c)       they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection</li></ol>	on(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .		
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3,5,7,9,11,13,15,17 and 41-57</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 19-32 and 3	<u>37-40</u> .	
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	
10. Other:	11	
<del></del>	HEMANG SANGHAVI PRIMARY EXAMINER	Scott Knauss
	ELIHANALLI PAANAMARI,	Art Unit 2874

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the new limitations "consisting essentially of silicon oxide" and "closely conforms to the core section" require further consideration and search.